

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/815,316	04/01/2004	James D. Young	CYSZ 2 00083	7248	
27885 FAY SHARPE	7590 02/02/200 LLP	7	EXAMINER		
1100 SUPERIO	R AVENUE, SEVEN	CHARLES, MARCUS			
CLEVELAND,	OH 44114		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3682		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MOI	NTHS	02/02/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/815,316	YOUNG ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	,	Marcus Charles	3682				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	ress			
Period fo	• •		•				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of previous previous providers and the provider of the providers of the p	G DATE OF THIS COMMU R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) M tatute, cause the application to become	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this come BABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>c</u>	01 April 2004.					
2a) <u></u>		This action is non-final.					
3)□	,—						
	closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
·	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the applica	tion.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) 1-3,5-12 and 14-17 is/are rejected	d.					
7)🖂	Claim(s) 4 and 13 is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers		·	•			
	The specification is objected to by the Exar	niner					
	•		iected to by the Evaminer				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 April 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	- · ·	, ,	R 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the			• •			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eian priority under 35 U.S.C	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	orgin priority under do d.d.d	. 3 1 10(4) (4) 01 (1).				
•	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have be	en received in this National S	stage			
	application from the International Bu						
* 8	See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies n	ot received.				
ř							
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	w Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper N	lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>04-01-2004 & 08-30-2004</u> .	6) Other: _					

Art Unit: 3682

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

This is the first action relating to serial application number filed 04-01-2004. Claims 1-17 are currently pending.

Drawings

1. The examiner has accepted the drawing filed with this application as formal drawing.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO (98/29673) to Young. WO (98/29673) discloses the claimed invention including the roller chain drive comprising a first sprocket (112) having a first plurality of asymmetric sprocket teeth, a second sprocket (114) having a second plurality of asymmetric sprocket teeth, wherein the first asymmetric teeth profile comprises first and second different asymmetric teeth profile. WO (98/29673) fails to disclose the pressure angles of the first and second asymmetric teeth profile are different such that the pressure angle of the second asymmetric teeth profile is at least 5 degrees greater than the pressure angle of the first teeth profile. It is inherent that the first and second tooth profile will have different pressure angles because the teeth profiles are different. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

Art Unit: 3682

invention would to modify the device of WO (98/29673) so that pressure angles the second pressure angle would be at least 5 degrees greater than the first pressure angle, the first pressure angle is at least negative three (-3) degrees but more than ten (10) degrees and the second pressure angle is at least six (6) degrees but not more than twenty three (23) degrees, since it has been held that where the general conditions of the claim is disclose in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves routing skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Young (5,997,424). Young discloses the claimed invention including the roller chain drive comprising a first sprocket (112) having a first plurality of asymmetric sprocket teeth, a second sprocket (114) having a second plurality of asymmetric sprocket teeth, wherein the first plurality asymmetric teeth comprises first and second different asymmetric teeth profile randomly spaced around the hub of the sprocket (see fig. 8). Young fails to disclose the pressure angles are different such that the pressure angle of the second asymmetric teeth profile is at least 5 degrees greater than the pressure angle of the asymmetric teeth profile. It is inherent that the first and second tooth profile will have different pressure angles because the profiles are different. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would modify the device of Young so that the second pressure angle would be at least 5 degrees greater than the first pressure angle, the first pressure angle is at least negative three (-3) degrees but more than ten (10) degrees and the second pressure angle is at least six (6) degrees but not more than twenty three (23) degrees, since it has been held that

Application/Control Number: 10/815,316

Art Unit: 3682

where the general conditions of the claim is disclose in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves routing skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

In claim 5, the claimed invention is inherently included in Young (5,997,424) device.

In claims 6-7, note the radius R¹ connected the first and second teeth profiles is smaller than the radius of the roller (see fig. 13a).

5. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Young (424) in view of Meyer (2,953,930) Young discloses the claimed invention but does not disclose the cushion ring secured to the hub. Meyer discloses the sprocket having a cushion ring (16) secured to the hub (see figs. 3-4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include a cushion ring to the hub of Young in view of Meyer in order to reduce noise between the chain and the sprocket.

In claim 9, Meyer discloses the claimed invention (see cushions 16").

In claims 10-12 and 14-17, Young discloses the claimed invention above.

6. Applicant cannot rely up the parent applications because the claimed invention is not disclosed as claimed in the parent applications. See MPEP 201.08. The disclosure parent application fails to disclose the claimed invention. If application feels the claimed invention is disclosed in the prior are. Applicant must clearly point out the area in the specification where the subject claimed matter is disclosed.

Application/Control Number: 10/815,316 Page 5

Art Unit: 3682

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 4 and 13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Haefeli (2,492,219), UK (2086817) and JP (57-173649) disclose a sprocket with a cushion secured to the hub. EP (086085), JP (09-42385) and EP (0791533) disclose a roller chain.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marcus Charles whose telephone number is (571) 272-7101. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ridley Richard can be reached on (571) 272-6917. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3682

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Marcus Charles
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3682
January 30, 2007